

# UC Davis

## UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Complete genome sequence of Paludibacter propionigenes type strain (WB4).

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4kr5k5w1>

### Journal

Standards in genomic sciences, 4(1)

### ISSN

1944-3277

### Authors

Gronow, Sabine  
Munk, Christine  
Lapidus, Alla  
et al.

### Publication Date

2011-02-01

### DOI

10.4056/sigs.1503846

Peer reviewed

## Complete genome sequence of *Paludibacter propionigenes* type strain (WB4<sup>T</sup>)

Sabine Gronow<sup>1</sup>, Christine Munk<sup>2,3</sup>, Alla Lapidus<sup>2</sup>, Matt Nolan<sup>2</sup>, Susan Lucas<sup>2</sup>, Nancy Hammon<sup>2</sup>, Shweta Deshpande<sup>2</sup>, Jan-Fang Cheng<sup>2</sup>, Roxane Tapia<sup>2,3</sup>, Cliff Han<sup>2,3</sup>, Lynne Goodwin<sup>2,3</sup>, Sam Pitluck<sup>2</sup>, Konstantinos Liolios<sup>2</sup>, Natalia Ivanova<sup>2</sup>, Konstantinos Mavromatis<sup>2</sup>, Natalia Mikhailova<sup>2</sup>, Amrita Pati<sup>2</sup>, Amy Chen<sup>4</sup>, Krishna Palaniappan<sup>4</sup>, Miriam Land<sup>2,5</sup>, Loren Hauser<sup>2,5</sup>, Yun-Juan Chang<sup>2,5</sup>, Cynthia D. Jeffries<sup>2,5</sup>, Evelyne Brambilla<sup>1</sup>, Manfred Rohde<sup>6</sup>, Markus Göker<sup>1</sup>, John C. Detter<sup>2,3</sup>, Tanja Woyke<sup>2</sup>, James Bristow<sup>2</sup>, Jonathan A. Eisen<sup>2,7</sup>, Victor Markowitz<sup>4</sup>, Philip Hugenholtz<sup>2,8</sup>, Nikos C. Kyrpides<sup>2</sup>, and Hans-Peter Klenk<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> DSMZ - German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>2</sup> DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, USA

<sup>3</sup> Los Alamos National Laboratory, Bioscience Division, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA

<sup>4</sup> Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

<sup>5</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

<sup>6</sup> HZI – Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>7</sup> University of California Davis Genome Center, Davis, California, USA

<sup>8</sup> Australian Centre for Ecogenomics, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

\*Corresponding author: Hans-Peter Klenk

**Keywords:** strictly anaerobic, nonmotile, Gram-negative, anoxic rice-field soil, mesophilic, chemoorganotrophic, *Porphyromonadaceae*, GEBA

*Paludibacter propionigenes* Ueki et al. 2006 is the type species of the genus *Paludibacter*, which belongs to the family *Porphyromonadaceae*. The species is of interest because of the position it occupies in the tree of life where it can be found in close proximity to members of the genus *Dysgonomonas*. This is the first completed genome sequence of a member of the genus *Paludibacter* and the third sequence from the family *Porphyromonadaceae*. The 3,685,504 bp long genome with its 3,054 protein-coding and 64 RNA genes consists of one circular chromosome and is a part of the **Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea** project.

## Introduction

Strain WB4<sup>T</sup> (= DSM 17365 = CCUG 53888 = JCM 13257) is the type strain of *P. propionigenes*, which is the type species of the genus *Paludibacter* [1,2]. Currently, there is only one species placed in the genus *Paludibacter* [1]. The generic name derives from the Latin noun *palus* –*udis* meaning swamp or marsh and the Neo-Latin word *bacter* meaning *a rod*, referring to a rod living in swamps [2]. The species epithet is derived from the Neo-Latin word *acidum propionicum* meaning *propionic acid* and the Greek verb *gennaō* meaning *to produce*, referring to the metabolic property of the species [2]. *P. propionigenes* strain WB4<sup>T</sup> was

isolated together with a number of other strains from rice plant residues in an anoxic rice-field soil in Yamagata, Japan, and described for the first time by Akasaka et al. in 2003 [3]. In 2006 the species was formally described by Ueki et al. and the genus *Paludibacter* was introduced [2]. No further isolates have been obtained for *P. propionigenes*, however, cultivation-independent 16S rRNA-dependent molecular investigations showed the presence of *P. propionigenes* in the rumen of sheep [4]. Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for *P. propionigenes*


WB4<sup>T</sup>, together with the description of the complete genomic sequencing and annotation.

## Classification and features

A representative genomic 16S rRNA sequence of strain WB4<sup>T</sup> was compared using NCBI BLAST under default values (e.g., considering only the best 250 hits) with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [5] and the relative frequencies, of taxa and keywords (reduced to their stems [6]) were determined, weighted by BLAST scores. The most frequently occurring genus was *Dysgonomonas* (100%) (8 hits in total). Among all other species, the one yielding the highest score was *Dysgonomonas capnocytophagoides*, which corresponded to an identity of 91.9% and a HSP coverage of 83.6%. The highest-scoring environmental sequence was AY212569 ('water 10 m down-

stream manure clone 118ds10'), which showed an identity of 99.6% and a HSP coverage of 100.1%. The five most frequent keywords within the labels of environmental samples which yielded hits were 'digest' (11.7%), 'anaerob' (6.2%), 'sludge' (6.1%), 'wastewater' (6.0%) and 'mesophile' (5.9%) (241 hits in total). The single most frequent keyword within the labels of environmental samples which yielded hits of a higher score than the highest scoring species was 'downstream/manure/water' (33.3%) (1 hit in total).

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *P. propionicipenes* WB4<sup>T</sup> in a 16S rRNA based tree. The three identical 16S rRNA sequences in the genome differ by one nucleotide from the previously published 16S rRNA sequence (AB078842).




**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *P. propionicipenes* relative to the other type strains within the family Porphyromonadaceae. The tree was inferred from 1,400 aligned characters [7,8] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood criterion [9] and rooted in accordance with the current taxonomy. The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers above branches are support values from 300 bootstrap replicates [10] if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [11] are shown in blue, published genomes in bold [12,13].

The cells of *P. propionicipenes* are generally rod-shaped ( $0.5\text{--}0.6\text{ }\mu\text{m} \times 1.3\text{--}1.7\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) with ends that are round or slightly tapered [2]. Elongated cells can also be seen, either as single cells or in short chains (Figure 2). *P. propionicipenes* is a Gram-negative and non spore-forming bacterium (Table 1). The organism is described to be nonmotile; only eight genes associated with motility were identified in the genome. The organism is strictly anaerobic and chemoorganotrophic [2,3]. The temperature range for growth is between  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $35^{\circ}\text{C}$ , with an optimum at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  [2]. The organism does not grow at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  [2]. The pH range for growth is 5.0–7.6 with an optimum at pH 6.6 [2]. NaCl concentrations from 0–0.5% (w/v) are tolerated. *P. propionicipenes* is able to utilize arabinose, glucose, fructose, xylose, cellobiose, galactose, mannose, maltose, melibiose, glycogen and soluble starch as growth substrates [2]. The organism does not utilize ribose, lactose, sucrose, melezitose, raffinose, sorbose, rhamnose, trehalose, cellulose, xylan, salicin, dulcitol, inositol, mannitol, sorbitol, ethanol, glycerol, fumarate, ma-

late, lactate, succinate or pyruvate [2]. Glucose is fermented to propionate and acetate in a molar ratio of 2:1 as major products and succinate as a minor product [2]. The organism does not reduce nitrate, it does not hydrolyze gelatin or urea and does not produce indole or hydrogen sulfide [2]. *P. propionicipenes* does not grow in the presence of bile salts. Catalase and oxidase are not present in the organism [2].

### Chemotaxonomy

Little chemotaxonomic data are available for strain WB4<sup>T</sup>. Only the fatty acid composition has been elucidated. The major fatty acids found were *anteiso*-C<sub>15:0</sub> (30.8%), C<sub>15:0</sub> (19.0%) and 3-hydroxy *anteiso*-C<sub>17:0</sub> (17.9%) [2]. Also, *iso*-C<sub>17:0</sub> 3-OH (6.2%) and C<sub>16:0</sub> (4.9%) were detected in intermediate amounts whereas *iso*-C<sub>15:0</sub> 3-OH, *iso*-C<sub>16:0</sub> 3-OH, C<sub>15:0</sub> 3-OH, C<sub>16:0</sub> 3-OH, *iso*-C<sub>15:0</sub>, C<sub>14:0</sub>, C<sub>16:0</sub>, and C<sub>18:0</sub> were present in minor amounts (1% to 5% of the total fatty acids). Unsaturated fatty acids were not detected [2].



**Figure 2.** Scanning electron micrograph of *P. propionicipenes* WB4<sup>T</sup>

**Table 1.** Classification and general features of *P. propionigenes* WB4 according to the MIGS recommendations [14].

| MIGS ID  | Property               | Term   | Evidence code |
|----------|------------------------|--|---------------|
|          |                        | Domain <i>Bacteria</i>                       | TAS [15]      |
|          |                        | Phylum <i>Bacteroidetes</i>                  | TAS [16]      |
|          |                        | Class <i>Bacteroidia</i>                     | TAS [16,17]   |
|          | Current classification | Order <i>Bacteroidales</i>                   | TAS [16]      |
|          |                        | Family <i>Porphyromonadaceae</i>             | TAS [16]      |
|          |                        | Genus <i>Paludibacter</i>                    | TAS [2]       |
|          |                        | Species <i>Paludibacter propionigenes</i>    | TAS [2]       |
|          |                        | Type strain WB4                              | TAS [2]       |
|          | Gram stain             | negative                                     | TAS [3]       |
|          | Cell shape             | rod-shaped                                   | TAS [3]       |
|          | Motility               | non-motile                                   | TAS [2]       |
|          | Sporulation            | none   | TAS [3]       |
|          | Temperature range      | 15°C to 35°C                                 | TAS [3]       |
|          | Optimum temperature    | 30°C   | TAS [2]       |
|          | Salinity               | normal                                       | NAS           |
| MIGS-22  | Oxygen requirement     | strictly anaerobic                           | TAS [3]       |
|          | Carbon source          | carbohydrates                                | TAS [3]       |
|          | Energy source          | chemoorganotroph                             | TAS [3]       |
| MIGS-6   | Habitat                | soil   | TAS [3]       |
| MIGS-15  | Biotic relationship    | free-living                                  | NAS           |
| MIGS-14  | Pathogenicity          | none   | NAS           |
|          | Biosafety level        | 1  | TAS [18]      |
|          | Isolation              | rice plant residue in anoxic rice-field soil | TAS [3]       |
| MIGS-4   | Geographic location    | Yamagata, Japan                              | TAS [3]       |
| MIGS-5   | Sample collection time | 1994   | TAS [3]       |
| MIGS-4.1 | Latitude               | 38.25  | NAS           |
| MIGS-4.2 | Longitude              | 140.34                                       | NAS           |
| MIGS-4.3 | Depth                  | not reported                                 |               |
| MIGS-4.4 | Altitude               | not reported                                 |               |

Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay (first time in publication); TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [19]. If the evidence code is IDA, then the property was directly observed by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.

## Genome sequencing and annotation

### Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [20], and is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project [21]. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes OnLine Database [11] and

the complete genome sequence is deposited in GenBank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI). A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Genome sequencing project information

| MIGS ID   | Property                   | Term  |
|-----------|----------------------------|---|
| MIGS-31   | Finishing quality          | Finished  |
| MIGS-28   | Libraries used             | Three genomic libraries: one 454 pyrosequence standard library, one 454 PE library (9 kb insert size), one Illumina library |
| MIGS-29   | Sequencing platforms       | Illumina GAii, 454 GS FLX Titanium  |
| MIGS-31.2 | Sequencing coverage        | 337.6 × Illumina; 28.1 × pyrosequence   |
| MIGS-30   | Assemblers                 | Newbler version 2 2.3-PreRelease-10-21-2009-gcc-4.1.2-threads, Velvet, phrap  |
| MIGS-32   | Gene calling method        | Prodigal 1.4, GenePRIMP   |
|           | INSDC ID                   | CP002345  |
|           | Genbank Date of Release    | December 2, 2010  |
|           | GOLD ID                    | Gc01549   |
|           | NCBI project ID            | 694427  |
|           | Database: IMG-GEBA         | 2503538024  |
| MIGS-13   | Source material identifier | DSM 17365   |
|           | Project relevance          | Tree of Life, GEBA  |

## Growth conditions and DNA isolation

*P. propionigenes* WB4<sup>T</sup>, DSM 17365, was grown anaerobically in DSMZ medium 104 [22] at 30°C. DNA was isolated from 0.5-1 g of cell paste using a MasterPure Gram-positive DNA purification kit (Epicentre MGP04100) following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer, with modification st/DL for cell lysis as described in Wu *et al.* [21].

## Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the JGI website [23]. Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler version 2.3-PreRelease-10-21-2009-gcc-4.1.2-threads (Roche). The initial Newbler assembly consisting of 26 contigs in one scaffold which was converted into a phrap assembly by [24] making fake reads from the consensus, to collect the read pairs in the 454 paired end library. Illumina GAii sequencing data (967 Mb) was assembled with Velvet [25] and the consensus sequences were shredded into 1.5 kb overlapped fake reads and assembled together with the 454 data. The 454 draft assembly was based on 93.4 Mb 454 draft data and all of the 454 paired end data. Newbler parameters are -consed -a 50 -l 350 -g -m -ml 20. The Phred/Phrap/Consed software package was used for sequence assem-

bly and quality assessment in the subsequent finishing process. After the shotgun stage, reads were assembled with parallel phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with gapResolution [23], Dupfinisher, or sequencing cloned bridging PCR fragments with subcloning or transposon bombing (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI) [26]. Gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by PCR and by Bubble PCR primer walks (J.-F.Chang, unpublished). A total of 124 additional reactions and one shatter library were necessary to close the gaps and to raise the quality of the finished sequence. Illumina reads were also used to correct potential base errors and increase consensus quality using a software Polisher developed at JGI [27]. The error rate of the completed genome sequence is less than 1 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms provided 365.7 × coverage of the genome. The final assembly contained 333,397 pyrosequence and 34,564,373 Illumina reads.

## Genome annotation

Genes were identified using Prodigal [28] as part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory genome annotation pipeline, followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePRIMP pipeline [29]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology In-




formation (NCBI) nonredundant database, UniProt, TIGR-Fam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. Additional gene prediction analysis and functional annotation was performed within the Integrated Microbial Genomes - Expert Review (IMG-ER) platform [30].

## Genome properties

The genome consists of a 3,685,504 bp long chromosome with a GC content of 38.9% (Figure 3 and

Table 3). Of the 3,118 genes predicted, 3,054 were protein-coding genes, and 64 RNAs; 34 pseudo-genes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (65.8%) were assigned with a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.



**Figure 3.** Graphical circular map of the chromosome. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew.

**Table 3.** Genome Statistics

| Attribute                        | Value     | % of Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Genome size (bp)                 | 3,685,504 | 100.00%    |
| DNA coding region (bp)           | 3,225,817 | 87.53%     |
| DNA G+C content (bp)             | 1,432,064 | 38.86%     |
| Number of replicons              | 1         |            |
| Extrachromosomal elements        | 0         |            |
| Total genes                      | 3,118     | 100.00%    |
| RNA genes                        | 64        | 2.05%      |
| rRNA operons                     | 3         |            |
| Protein-coding genes             | 3,054     | 97.95%     |
| Pseudo genes                     | 34        | 1.09%      |
| Genes with function prediction   | 2,051     | 65.78%     |
| Genes in paralog clusters        | 325       | 10.42%     |
| Genes assigned to COGs           | 2,005     | 64.30%     |
| Genes assigned Pfam domains      | 2,205     | 70.72%     |
| Genes with signal peptides       | 843       | 27.04%     |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 784       | 25.14%     |
| CRISPR repeats                   | 2         |            |

**Table 4.** Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories

| Code | value | %age | Description   |
|------|-------|------|---|
| J    | 149   | 6.8  | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis               |
| A    | 0     | 0    | RNA processing and modification                               |
| K    | 136   | 6.2  | Transcription   |
| L    | 101   | 4.6  | Replication, recombination and repair                         |
| B    | 0     | 0    | Chromatin structure and dynamics                              |
| D    | 22    | 1.0  | Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning    |
| Y    | 0     | 0    | Nuclear structure   |
| V    | 48    | 2.2  | Defense mechanisms  |
| T    | 99    | 4.5  | Signal transduction mechanisms                                |
| M    | 232   | 10.6 | Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis                        |
| N    | 8     | 0.4  | Cell motility   |
| Z    | 0     | 0    | Cytoskeleton  |
| W    | 0     | 0    | Extracellular structures                                      |
| U    | 40    | 1.8  | Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport |
| O    | 80    | 3.7  | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones  |
| C    | 108   | 5.0  | Energy production and conversion                              |
| G    | 172   | 7.9  | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                         |
| E    | 166   | 7.6  | Amino acid transport and metabolism                           |
| F    | 61    | 2.8  | Nucleotide transport and metabolism                           |
| H    | 128   | 5.9  | Coenzyme transport and metabolism                             |
| I    | 67    | 3.1  | Lipid transport and metabolism                                |
| P    | 131   | 6.0  | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism                        |
| Q    | 24    | 1.1  | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism  |
| R    | 256   | 11.7 | General function prediction only                              |
| S    | 153   | 7.0  | Function unknown  |
| -    | 1,113 | 35.7 | Not in COGs   |



## Acknowledgements

We would like to gratefully acknowledge the help of Sabine Welnitz (DSMZ) for growing *P. propionigenes* cultures. This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program, and by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231,

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344, and Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-06NA25396, UT-Battelle and Oak Ridge National Laboratory under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, as well as German Research Foundation (DFG) INST 599/1-2.

## References

1. Garrity G. NamesforLife. BrowserTool takes expertise out of the database and puts it right in the browser. *Microbiol Today* 2010; **7**:1.
2. Ueki A, Akasaka H, Suzuki D, Ueki K. *Paludibacter propionigenes* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel strictly anaerobic, Gram-negative, propionate-producing bacterium isolated from plant residue in irrigated rice-field soil in Japan. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006; **56**:39-44. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1099/ijs.0.63896-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63896-0)
3. Akasaka H, Izawa T, Ueki K, Ueki A. Phylogeny of numerically abundant culturable anaerobic bacteria associated with degradation of rice plant residue in Japanese paddy field soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2003; **43**:149-161. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2003.tb01054.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2003.tb01054.x)
4. Pei CX, Liu Q, Dong CS, Li H, Jiang JB, Gao WJ. Diversity and abundance of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences in forestomach of alpacas (*Lama pacos*) and sheep (*Ovis aries*). *Anaerobe* 2010; **16**:426-432. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.06.004)
5. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P, Andersen GL. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench Compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; **72**:5069-5072. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1128/AEM.03006-05](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05)
6. Porter MF. An algorithm for suffix stripping. *Program: electronic library and information systems* 1980; **14**:130-137.
7. Lee C, Grasso C, Sharlow MF. Multiple sequence alignment using partial order graphs. *Bioinformatics* 2002; **18**:452-464. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.452](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.452)
8. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000; **17**:540-552. [PubMed](#)
9. Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web-servers. *Syst Biol* 2008; **57**:758-771. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1080/10635150802429642](https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802429642)
10. Pattengale ND, Alipour M, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Moret BME, Stamatakis A. How Many Bootstrap Replicates Are Necessary? *Lect Notes Comput Sci* 2009; **5541**:184-200. [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-02008-7\\_13](#)
11. Liolios K, Chen IM, Mavromatis K, Tavernarakis N, Hugenholtz P, Markowitz VM, Kyrpides NC. The Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) in 2009: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010; **38**:D346-D354. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1093/nar/gkp848](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp848)
12. Xu J, Mahowald MA, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Martens EC, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Minx P, Latreille P, et al. Evolution of symbiotic bacteria in the distal human intestine. *PLoS Biol* 2007; **5**:e156. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050156](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050156)
13. Naito M, Hirakawa H, Yamashita A, Ohara N, Shoji M, Yukitake H, Nakayama K, Toh H, Yoshimura F, Kuhara S, et al. Determination of the genome sequence of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* strain ATCC 33277 and genomic comparison with strain W83 revealed extensive genome rearrangements in *P. gingivalis*. *DNA Res* 2008; **15**:215-225. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1093/dnares/dsn013](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn013)
14. Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, Tatusova T, Thomson N, Allen MJ, Angiuoli SV, et al. The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. *Nat Biotechnol* 2008; **26**:541-547. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1038/nbt1360](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1360)
15. Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains *Archaea*, *Bacteria*, and *Eucarya*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; **87**:4576-4579. [PubMed](#) [doi:10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576)
16. Garrity GM, Holt JG. Taxonomic Outline of the *Archaea* and *Bacteria*. In: Garrity GM, Boone DR, Castenholz RW (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematics*

- matic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 1, Springer, New York, 2001, p. 155-166.
17. Ludwig W, Euzéby J, Whitman WG. Draft taxonomic outline of the *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Chlamydiae*, *Spirochaetes*, *Fibrobacteres*, *Fusobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Dictyoglomi*, and *Gemmatimonadetes*. [http://www.bergeys.org/outlines/Bergeys\\_Vol\\_4\\_Outline.pdf](http://www.bergeys.org/outlines/Bergeys_Vol_4_Outline.pdf).
18. BAuA. Classification of bacteria and archaea in risk groups. *TRBA* 2005; **466**:84.
19. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* 2000; **25**:25-29. [PubMed doi:10.1038/75556](#)
20. Klenk HP, Goeker M. En route to a genome-based classification of *Archaea* and *Bacteria*? *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010; **33**:175-182. [PubMed doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2010.03.003](#)
21. Wu D, Hugenholtz P, Mavromatis K, Pukall R, Dalin E, Ivanova NN, Kunin V, Goodwin L, Wu M, Tindall BJ, et al. A phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopaedia of *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. *Nature* 2009; **462**:1056-1060. [PubMed doi:10.1038/nature08656](#)
22. List of growth media used at DSMZ: [http://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/media\\_list.php](http://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/media_list.php).
23. DOE Joint Genome Institute. <http://www.jgi.doe.gov>
24. Phrap and Phred for Windows, MacOS, Linux, and Unix. <http://www.phrap.com>
25. Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 2008; **18**:821-829. [PubMed doi:10.1101/gr.074492.107](#)
26. Han C, Chain P. 2006. Finishing repeat regions automatically with Dupfinisher. in Proceeding of the 2006 international conference on bioinformatics & computational biology. Edited by Hamid R. Arabnia & Homayoun Valafar, CSREA Press. June 26- 29, 2006: 141-146.30.
27. Lapidus A, LaButti K, Foster B, Lowry S, Trong S, Goltsman E. POLISHER: An effective tool for using ultra short reads in microbial genome assembly and finishing. AGBT, Marco Island, FL, 2008.
28. Hyatt D, Chen GL, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; **11**:119. [PubMed doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119](#)
29. Pati A, Ivanova NN, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, Kyrpides NC. Gene-PRIMP: a gene prediction improvement pipeline for prokaryotic genomes. *Nat Methods* 2010; **7**:455-457. [PubMed doi:10.1038/nmeth.1457](#)
30. Markowitz VM, Ivanova NN, Chen IMA, Chu K, Kyrpides NC. IMG ER: a system for microbial genome annotation expert review and curation. *Bioinformatics* 2009; **25**:2271-2278. [PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp393](#)